

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

On April 16, 2008, the parties filed an erroneously captioned joint motion to continue early neutral evaluation conference. [Doc. No. 21.] On March 7, 2008, the Court held a telephonic Early Neutral Evaluation Conference (“ENE”) in the above entitled action. [See Minute Entry at Doc. No. 13-14.] Following the ENE, the Court issued an order requiring compliance with Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and set a Settlement Conference/Case Management Conference for April 24, 2008. [Doc. No. 12.]

The parties' request for a three-month continuance of the April 24, 2008 Case Management Conference is **DENIED**. The parties are ordered to participate in a telephonic status conference on April 22, 2008 at 9:15 a.m. to discuss alleged scheduling conflicts with the April 24, 2008 date previously scheduled by the Court more than a month ago. **Counsel for Defendant Grohe** shall contact opposing counsel and then initiate a joint call to the Court at (619) 557-6624 on the day and at the time indicated above.

111

1 Failure of any counsel or party to comply with this Order will result in the imposition of
2 sanctions.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 DATED: April 17, 2008

5 
6

7 Hon. William McCurine, Jr.
8 U.S. Magistrate Judge, U.S. District Court

9
10 COPY TO:
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HONORABLE JANIS L. SAMMARTINO, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD